



Ron Huber <coastwatch@gmail.com>

FW: Rockland Fish Pier

1 message

Matt Ripley <mripley@rocklandmaine.gov>
To: Ron Huber <coastwatch@gmail.com>

Thu, Jul 20, 2017 at 10:48 AM

From: Bud Brown [mailto:raptor@gwi.net]
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2016 3:49 PM
To: Peter Tischbein
Cc: Jay Clement; Shawn Mahaney; Audra Caler-Bell; Matt Ripley; Tim Forrester; Lisa Vickers
Subject: Rockland Fish Pier

Hi Pete,

I've attached the results of our sampling and analysis effort which we conducted to determine if we can phase the dredging at the Fish Pier in a manner which would encapsulate the more contaminated dredge material under a cap of sands at the Rockland Disposal Site (RDS). The City's goal is to avoid the costs of Biological Testing which runs \$65 to \$70,000.00 per sample.

I've been working with EnviroSystems, Inc. (ESI) for many years and whenever the question of whether or not they believe materials will be found suitable for offshore disposal when the Bulk Chemistry results are similar to the Fish Piers, they have always predicted correctly that the material will pass Biological Testing. I asked that question yet again and got the same answer, "Yes they will." I'll forward that opinion to you in a few minutes. Hopefully this attached approach will avoid that cost.

Thanks.

Bud Brown, President
ECO-ANALYSTS, INC
P.O. Box 224
Bath, Maine 04530-0224

Primary Contact Cell [207-837-2442](tel:207-837-2442)raptor@gwi.net



Rockland Fish Pier Grain Size Analysis for Use of Sand as Cover for Dredge Material.pdf

841K