

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 21:36
To: Eric Laustsen ; 'P vanVuuren'; 'Abbie Knickelbein'; 'Brian Harden'
Cc: 'Pearce, Bill'; 'Tony Coyne'; 'Brooks Winner'; 'Nathan Davis'; 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Audra Bell'; Lousie MacLellan-Ruf ; Will Clayton ; Valli.citycouncil@gmail.com; 'Bill Jillson'; jroot@ci.rockland.me.us; Kevin Beal
Subject: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity
Attachments: 2016_01.25_Energy_Committee_Moratorium_Power_Generation_Over_10MW_Issues_For_PB_Final.pdf

Dear Eric & Members of the Planning Board,

First, to Eric let me say welcome back. Second, on behalf of the Energy Committee let me express our appreciation to Warren for attending the Committee's January 25th meeting as a representative of the Planning Board.

As you are aware, and as we discussed on the phone, on January 11th the City Council enacted a moratorium on new site plan applications for electrical power generation facilities over 10 megawatts in size.

The first task under the moratorium was for the Energy Committee "to convey to the Planning Board a summary of any issues that the Committee recommends be considered by the Planning based on the forums held by the Committee."

Following passage of the moratorium, the Energy Committee met on 1/14, 1/21 and 1/25 to review all of the community questions from the forums as well as the technical information from presentations. The attached bookmarked PDF constitutes the Energy Committee's summary for the Planning Board.

Please let me know how the Planning Board would like to proceed. Representatives from the Energy Committee could attend a Planning Board meeting and present this information. Or, the Energy Committee and the Planning Board could schedule a joint meeting.

Also, I will note that the Energy Committee has a meeting scheduled for 2/10 to finalize a list of firms with expertise in the areas highlighted to provide technical support to the Planning Board as needed. It is the Energy Committee's intent to provide the Board with at least a couple of choices in each discipline.

Sincerely,
Larry
594-8806

Jim Chaousis

From: Jim Chaousis <jchaousis@ci.rockland.me.us>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 09:14
To: 'Larry Pritchett'
Subject: RE: Comps Commission and Energy Plants

This concerns me. No authority figure is involved with sensitive action of a city commission. I will stay out of it but the City Council should be aware.

Jim C

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 9:14 AM
To: 'Jim Chaousis' <jchaousis@ci.rockland.me.us>
Subject: RE: Comps Commission and Energy Plants

Hi Jim,

Energy Committee has not referred anything to Comps. When I talked with Eric, he did not mention Comps either.

Larry

From: Jim Chaousis [<mailto:jchaousis@ci.rockland.me.us>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 09:02
To: 'Larry Pritchett'
Subject: Comps Commission and Energy Plants

Larry,

I am writing to inquire whether the Energy Committee tasked the Comprehensive Planning Commission with Energy Plant considerations. There were concerns that this process be done transparently to the point that the City Manager was purposefully kept at bay. I have been monitoring and assisting the Energy Committee and Planning Board in this very sensitive issue but I stumbled across the Comps Agenda. I wouldn't want this effort to pull away from the Energy Committee and Planning Boards transparent efforts. It is clearly outside their ordinance charge and outside the moratorium charge. Please advise.

Jim C

**CITY OF ROCKLAND
COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING COMMISSION
Meeting Agenda
Thursday, February 11, 2016, 7pm
City Council Chambers**

1) Call to order and roll call

2) Adjustments to Agenda, if needed

3) Previous Meeting Minutes:

- a) January 28, 2016

4) New Business:

- a) Continuation of Energy Plant discussion specific to protection of Rockland Harbor, air emissions, and ground/surface water protection
- b) Presentation by Eric Galant on Water Resources and Marine Resources Chapters
- c) Discussion on Chapter 14 and methods for tracking strategy implementation completion

5) Old Business:

- a) Pending draft Plan Updates:

- * Ch. 1 – Population & Demographics
- * Ch. 2 – Local Economy
- * Ch. 3 – Agricultural & Forestry Resources (awaiting Galant presentation)
- * Ch. 4 – Marine Resources (awaiting Galant presentation)
- * Ch. 7 – Housing
- * Ch. 8 – Transportation

- b) Historic Preservation Ordinance
- c) Park Street / Payne Avenue Gateway & Zoning Review
- d) Camden Street Smart Code

6) Next meeting date(s):

February 25, 2016
March 3, 2016

7) Adjournment

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 14:06
To: 'Dan Kelley'
Subject: FW: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity
Attachments: 2016_01.25_Energy_Committee_Moratorium_Power_Generation_Over_10MW_Issues_For_PB_Final.pdf

FYI. Take a look at the attachment.

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 21:36
To: Eric Laustsen ; 'P vanVuuren'; 'Abbie Knickelbein'; 'Brian Harden'
Cc: 'Pearce, Bill'; 'Tony Coyne'; 'Brooks Winner'; 'Nathan Davis'; 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Audra Bell'; Lousie MacLellan-Ruf ; Will Clayton ; Valli.citycouncil@gmail.com; 'Bill Jillson'; jroot@ci.rockland.me.us; Kevin Beal
Subject: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Dear Eric & Members of the Planning Board,

First, to Eric let me say welcome back. Second, on behalf of the Energy Committee let me express our appreciation to Warren for attending the Committee's January 25th meeting as a representative of the Planning Board.

As you are aware, and as we discussed on the phone, on January 11th the City Council enacted a moratorium on new site plan applications for electrical power generation facilities over 10 megawatts in size.

The first task under the moratorium was for the Energy Committee "to convey to the Planning Board a summary of any issues that the Committee recommends be considered by the Planning based on the forums held by the Committee."

Following passage of the moratorium, the Energy Committee met on 1/14, 1/21 and 1/25 to review all of the community questions from the forums as well as the technical information from presentations. The attached bookmarked PDF constitutes the Energy Committee's summary for the Planning Board.

Please let me know how the Planning Board would like to proceed. Representatives from the Energy Committee could attend a Planning Board meeting and present this information. Or, the Energy Committee and the Planning Board could schedule a joint meeting.

Also, I will note that the Energy Committee has a meeting scheduled for 2/10 to finalize a list of firms with expertise in the areas highlighted to provide technical support to the Planning Board as needed. It is the Energy Committee's intent to provide the Board with at least a couple of choices in each discipline.

Sincerely,
Larry
594-8806

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 15:03
To: 'Sean Mahoney'
Cc: 'Greg Cunningham'
Subject: FW: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity
Attachments: 2016_01.25_Energy_Committee_Moratorium_Power_Generation_Over_10MW_Issues_For_PB_Final.pdf; 2015_12.04_City_Atty_Moratorium_Grid_Scale_Power_Generation_Jillson_Final_Exprts_Apprvd.pdf

Hi Sean,

This is the Site Plan update info we discussed. I also attached a copy of the Moratorium as approved. I think you said this was a project which CLF might be able to have a couple of interns assist in some manner.

Energy Committee has a meeting with the Planning Board next Tuesday (2/16). I would be helpful for us to discuss this before that meeting (if practical given all the other energy related stuff going on).

Larry
594-8806

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 21:36
To: Eric Laustsen ; 'P vanVuuren'; 'Abbie Knickelbein'; 'Brian Harden'
Cc: 'Pearce, Bill'; 'Tony Coyne'; 'Brooks Winner'; 'Nathan Davis'; 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Audra Bell'; Lousie MacLellan-Ruf ; Will Clayton ; Valli.citycouncil@gmail.com; 'Bill Jillson'; jroot@ci.rockland.me.us; Kevin Beal
Subject: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Dear Eric & Members of the Planning Board,

First, to Eric let me say welcome back. Second, on behalf of the Energy Committee let me express our appreciation to Warren for attending the Committee's January 25th meeting as a representative of the Planning Board.

As you are aware, and as we discussed on the phone, on January 11th the City Council enacted a moratorium on new site plan applications for electrical power generation facilities over 10 megawatts in size.

The first task under the moratorium was for the Energy Committee "to convey to the Planning Board a summary of any issues that the Committee recommends be considered by the Planning based on the forums held by the Committee."

Following passage of the moratorium, the Energy Committee met on 1/14, 1/21 and 1/25 to review all of the community questions from the forums as well as the technical information from presentations. The attached bookmarked PDF constitutes the Energy Committee's summary for the Planning Board.

Please let me know how the Planning Board would like to proceed. Representatives from the Energy Committee could attend a Planning Board meeting and present this information. Or, the Energy Committee and the Planning Board could schedule a joint meeting.

Also, I will note that the Energy Committee has a meeting scheduled for 2/10 to finalize a list of firms with expertise in the areas highlighted to provide technical support to the Planning Board as needed. It is the Energy Committee's intent to provide the Board with at least a couple of choices in each discipline.

Sincerely,

Larry

594-8806

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 14:59
To: 'Alyssa C. Tibbetts'
Subject: FW: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity
Attachments: 2016_01.25_Energy_Committee_Moratorium_Power_Generation_Over_10MW_Issues_For_PB_Final.pdf; 2015_12.04_City_Atty_Moratorium_Grid_Scale_Power_Generation_Jillson_Final_Exprts_Apprvd.pdf

Hi Alyssa,

Please take a look at the two attachments and let me know if JBGH could provide assistance to Rockland's Planning Board in updating Site Plan Review standards if requested.

Many Thanks,
Larry
594-8806

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 21:36
To: Eric Laustsen ; 'P vanVuuren'; 'Abbie Knickelbein'; 'Brian Harden'
Cc: 'Pearce, Bill'; 'Tony Coyne'; 'Brooks Winner'; 'Nathan Davis'; 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Audra Bell'; Lousie MacLellan-Ruf ; Will Clayton ; Valli.citycouncil@gmail.com; 'Bill Jillson'; jroot@ci.rockland.me.us; Kevin Beal
Subject: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Dear Eric & Members of the Planning Board,

First, to Eric let me say welcome back. Second, on behalf of the Energy Committee let me express our appreciation to Warren for attending the Committee's January 25th meeting as a representative of the Planning Board.

As you are aware, and as we discussed on the phone, on January 11th the City Council enacted a moratorium on new site plan applications for electrical power generation facilities over 10 megawatts in size.

The first task under the moratorium was for the Energy Committee "to convey to the Planning Board a summary of any issues that the Committee recommends be considered by the Planning based on the forums held by the Committee."

Following passage of the moratorium, the Energy Committee met on 1/14, 1/21 and 1/25 to review all of the community questions from the forums as well as the technical information from presentations. The attached bookmarked PDF constitutes the Energy Committee's summary for the Planning Board.

Please let me know how the Planning Board would like to proceed. Representatives from the Energy Committee could attend a Planning Board meeting and present this information. Or, the Energy Committee and the Planning Board could schedule a joint meeting.

Also, I will note that the Energy Committee has a meeting scheduled for 2/10 to finalize a list of firms with expertise in the areas highlighted to provide technical support to the Planning Board as needed. It is the Energy

Committee's intent to provide the Board with at least a couple of choices in each discipline.

Sincerely,
Larry
594-8806

Rockland Energy Committee

Committee Meeting Agenda

Date: **February 10, 2016 Meeting**

Members: Larry Pritchett, Bill Pearce, Tony Coyne, Brooks Winner
Nathan Davis ~~(Mayor Has Nominated/Pending Council Confirmation)~~

Agenda: Larry Pritchett
Committee Chair

Minutes: Bill Pearce
{None: When Minutes Completed In Italicized Text In Brackets Below}

1 Approve Minutes From 2/3 Meeting

{ }

2 Confirm February & March Energy Meeting Dates

2/10 Wednesday 4:30 PM (Council Chambers)

(Ordinance Amendment #48 Specifies Energy Is To Vote On Options By Tonight)

2/16 Presentation To The Planning Board (5:15 PM in Council Chambers)

2/24 Wednesday @ 4:30 In Council Chambers

Add Two Meetings In March

March 10 & 24 @ 4:30 PM

{ }

3 Options For Firms Planning Board Could Utilize For Technical Assistance

Engineering & Environmental:

Woodard & Curran (Available/COI Checked)

TRC Solutions (Interested/Running Internal Checks)

Engineering:

SMRT Architects and Engineers (Available/COI Checked)

Jim Tolan (Availability Not Clear)

Legal:

Jensen, Baird Gardner & Henry (Currently Reviewing)

Conservation Law Foundation (Currently Reviewing)

{ }

4 Solar Update

South Portland, Rockland, and Falmouth Councils Have Approved Resolve

5 Recommend Interconnect Scoping Meeting With CMP

2

Information On Resolve Will Be Distributed To Other Municipalities This Week

Municipal Solar Financial Model Review Last Week In South Portland

Have Documents (PPA, Landfill License Amendment, etc.) From Belfast

{ }

5 Recommend Interconnect Scoping Meeting With CMP

North School and Solid Waste Sites and Gamage Lane

{ }

6 Develop Scope of Work For Technical Analysis Of Capped Landfill

{ }

7 Pre-Bid Meeting On RFQ City Wide Street Lighting Replacement Project

{ }

8 Committee Member Tasks Prior To February 10 Meeting

t

{ }

Rockland Energy Advisory Committee

Rockland Community Room at Library on February 10, 2016, @ 4:30 PM EST

Attendance: Larry Pritchett, Brooks Winner, Nathan Davis, and Bill Pearce. Guests Ron Huber and David Leon.

The minutes of February 3, 2016, were amended and approved by the Committee.

Brooks made a motion to recommend the six firms to the Planning Board concerning the power plant moratorium.. The motion was seconded by Nathan. The motion was passed unanimously.

Brooks made a motion to go ahead with the feasibility of a solar interconnection meeting with CMP at McDougall school, Gamage Lane, and the the City's closed and capped Quarry 2 South landfill site. Larry seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Larry showed a cost calculation sheet that can be used for solar system evaluations.

Next Energy Advisory Committee meetings are at 4:30 PM on 2/24/16 in City Council Chambers. Meetings have been also scheduled for March 10 and 24, 2016, for the library.

Jim Tolman

From: Jim Tolan <jimtolanusa@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 19:59
To: Larry Pritchett
Subject: Re: FW: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Hi Larry,

Thank you for thinking of me when considering power plant site plan review.

I would suggest Rockland would be better served by a firm that has both power and permitting experience. They would have access to a significant quantity of power generation site plan review ordinances and would have an understanding what has worked well and held up to appeals.

I would suggest...HDR in Portland. Contact Mike Murphy, 207-239-3855. Another candidate could be Stantec in Topsham.

I would be happy to discuss any issues or questions you may have during the effort.

Best regards,
Jim

On Wed, Feb 10, 2016 at 3:19 PM, Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Jim,

Please take a look at the two attachments and let me know if you could provide technical assistance to Rockland's Planning Board in updating Site Plan Review standards if requested.

Many Thanks,
Larry
594-8806

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 21:36
To: Eric Laustsen ; 'P vanVuuren'; 'Abbie Knickelbein'; 'Brian Harden'
Cc: 'Pearce, Bill'; 'Tony Coyne'; 'Brooks Winner'; 'Nathan Davis'; 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Audra Bell'; Lousie MacLellan-Ruf ; Will Clayton ; Valli.citycouncil@gmail.com; 'Bill Jillson'; jroot@ci.rockland.me.us; Kevin Beal
Subject: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Dear Eric & Members of the Planning Board,

First, to Eric let me say welcome back. Second, on behalf of the Energy Committee let me express our

appreciation to Warren for attending the Committee's January 25th meeting as a representative of the Planning Board.

As you are aware, and as we discussed on the phone, on January 11th the City Council enacted a moratorium on new site plan applications for electrical power generation facilities over 10 megawatts in size.

The first task under the moratorium was for the Energy Committee "to convey to the Planning Board a summary of any issues that the Committee recommends be considered by the Planning based on the forums held by the Committee."

Following passage of the moratorium, the Energy Committee met on 1/14, 1/21 and 1/25 to review all of the community questions from the forums as well as the technical information from presentations. The attached bookmarked PDF constitutes the Energy Committee's summary for the Planning Board.

Please let me know how the Planning Board would like to proceed. Representatives from the Energy Committee could attend a Planning Board meeting and present this information. Or, the Energy Committee and the Planning Board could schedule a joint meeting.

Also, I will note that the Energy Committee has a meeting scheduled for 2/10 to finalize a list of firms with expertise in the areas highlighted to provide technical support to the Planning Board as needed. It is the Energy Committee's intent to provide the Board with at least a couple of choices in each discipline.

Sincerely,
Larry
594-8806

Caplinger, Juliet

From: Caplinger, Juliet <JCaplinger@trcsolutions.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2016 16:21
To: Larry Pritchett
Subject: RE: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Hi Larry! Thank you for the email. Sorry for the delay on getting back with you...I was running this by others in TRC to make sure that conflicts of interest (COIs) were not an issue, and thankfully, they are not. Yippee! ;)

How could we assist you specifically? Do you have an RFP or other type of document detailing what technical assistance you are needing at this time? I could then draft a proposal and send to you for review if you'd like?

I look forward to hearing from you. thank you Larry for this opportunity to work in my nearby hometown!

Juliet W. Caplinger

Project Manager – Environmental and Renewable Energy



6 Ashley Drive, Scarborough, ME 04074
T: 207.274.2673 | F: 207.879.9293 | C: 715.482.7779
JCaplinger@TRCsolutions.com
[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Blog](#) | www.trcsolutions.com

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 10, 2016 3:12 PM
To: Caplinger, Juliet <JCaplinger@trcsolutions.com>
Subject: FW: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Hi Juliette,

Thanks for calling back. Attached is the info we discussed. Please take a look at the two attachments and let me know if TRC could provide technical assistance to Rockland's Planning Board in updating Site Plan Review standards if requested.

Many Thanks,
Larry
594-8806

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2016 21:36
To: Eric Laustsen ; 'P vanVuuren'; 'Abbie Knickelbein'; 'Brian Harden'
Cc: 'Pearce, Bill'; 'Tony Coyne'; 'Brooks Winner'; 'Nathan Davis'; 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Audra Bell'; Lousie MacLellan-Ruf ; Will Clayton ; Valli.citycouncil@gmail.com; 'Bill Jillson'; jroot@ci.rockland.me.us; Kevin Beal
Subject: Ordinance Amendment 48 > Moratorium > New > Power Generation Facilities > Over 10 MW Capacity

Dear Eric & Members of the Planning Board,

First, to Eric let me say welcome back. Second, on behalf of the Energy Committee let me express our appreciation to Warren for attending the Committee's January 25th meeting as a representative of the Planning Board.

As you are aware, and as we discussed on the phone, on January 11th the City Council enacted a moratorium on new site plan applications for electrical power generation facilities over 10 megawatts in size.

The first task under the moratorium was for the Energy Committee "to convey to the Planning Board a summary of any issues that the Committee recommends be considered by the Planning based on the forums held by the Committee."

Following passage of the moratorium, the Energy Committee met on 1/14, 1/21 and 1/25 to review all of the community questions from the forums as well as the technical information from presentations. The attached bookmarked PDF constitutes the Energy Committee's summary for the Planning Board.

Please let me know how the Planning Board would like to proceed. Representatives from the Energy Committee could attend a Planning Board meeting and present this information. Or, the Energy Committee and the Planning Board could schedule a joint meeting.

Also, I will note that the Energy Committee has a meeting scheduled for 2/10 to finalize a list of firms with expertise in the areas highlighted to provide technical support to the Planning Board as needed. It is the Energy Committee's intent to provide the Board with at least a couple of choices in each discipline.

Sincerely,
Larry
594-8806

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 15:24
To: 'Dan Dunkle'
Subject: RE: PB meeting last night

See Below

LP

From: Dan Dunkle [<mailto:ddunkle@courierpublicationsllc.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 10:19
To: Larry Pritchett
Subject: PB meeting last night

Hi Larry,

Thanks for calling this morning. I got your message and I do have a few questions.

DD: After I left, did the board decide anything concerning the time line for the rest of this process?

LP: The Planning Board scheduled a meeting (an additional meeting beyond the Board's regular schedule) for next Tuesday (2/23 at 5:15)

DD: Did the planning board decide on experts to help with this?

LP: The Planning Board asked the Energy Committee to select a firm (or firms) and the Energy Committee will do so at its meeting next week.

DD: Is Beal going to draft an ordinance for them to work with and when would that be available (and yes, I did hear and somewhat agree with your concerns about the draft becoming the final in people's minds)?

LP: A Couple of point:

(1) Staff were going to discuss this question Wednesday morning and see what was practical as prep work for the PB meeting next Tuesday.

(2) The Energy Committee included Jensen Baird Gardner and Henry (because of the firms extensive Site Law and Planning Board experience) as well as the Conservation Law Foundation (because of the organization's knowledge of Energy law, regulations and policy across New England) as technical resources for this process.

The Energy Committee did not address the question of what the division of labor might be between the City's in house legal Counsel and outside legal services that has specific expertise in the areas in question. Energy was developing a list of firms and organizations with skills that could address all the areas identified.

DD: It seemed like planning board doesn't usually handle drafting these ordinances. Why did this go to the board and not comprehensive planning commission?

LP: When I first saw the draft moratorium the Planning Board was tasked with drafting the ordinance. I asked the City Attorney and he indicated two reasons:

(1) Many of the questions seemed to be more Site Plan review (Chapter 16) rather than zoning (Chapter 19) and that the Planning Board was already considering revisions to Chapter 16.

(2) The Comprehensive Planning Committee was deep into long past due revisions to the Comprehensive Plan.

I would note that broadly speaking, Planning Boards and Comprehensive Planning Commissions both (it varies by municipality and by issue) work on ordinance revisions. And in some municipalities the Planning Board actually leads the Comprehensive Plan update process.

It did seem like an interesting discussion of the various issues last night.

I'm just going into a meeting, but should be available for phone calls anytime after say 10:45 a.m. today. Thanks for reaching out

Daniel Dunkle
News Director
Courier Publications
207 594-4401 ext. 122

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 00:22
To: Eric Laustsen
Cc: 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Nathan Davis'; jroot@ci.rockland.me.us; 'P vanVuuren'
Subject: Planning Board > Site Plan Standards > Grid Scale Power Generation Facilities

Dear Eric,

Nathan and I talked some after the meeting to see if there were any missteps or omissions on Energy's side. Given how the "next steps" conversation evolved, we both regretted not bringing up one point. At Energy's meetings the Committee discussed the types of technical assistance the Planning Board might need.

The Committee identified four areas: (1) Engineering (i.e., water utilization, cooling towers, sound, etc.); (2) Environmental (i.e., air emissions, water discharge, potential wildlife impacts, etc.); (4) Site Law (i.e., how to best address specific major projects questions within the Maine's Site Plan Review framework); and (4) Energy Policy.

The first two (Engineering and Environmental) can be met by a single firm like Woodard and Curran (and others) that provide both technical engineering services and environmental assessment services. As per Tuesday evening's discussion, the Energy Committee will move ahead to line up a specific firm in this area for this project.

The Energy Committee also made recommendations on firms for the City to potentially utilize in this process for both the Site Law aspects and for Energy Policy questions. On the Site Law side the Energy Committee recommended the law firm of Jensen Baird Gardner and Henry.

JBGH has extensive experience supporting municipalities in developing site plan standards in complex areas of the law and supporting Planning Boards in the Site Plan review process. A range of parties (municipal officials, planners, engineers, environmental organizations, etc.) suggested the City consider JBGH for this work.

The Energy Committee also recommended the Conservation Law Foundation as a resource in this process on energy policy and energy law. CLF's attorney's would not draft ordinance language for the City (JBGH obviously would if hired). But CLF's New England wide energy perspective could provide useful context.

The Energy Committee did not address the question of what the division of labor might be between the City's in house legal Counsel and outside legal services that has specific expertise in the areas in question. Energy was developing a list of firms with skills that could address all the areas identified in the specified time frame.

Not bringing up JBGH and CLF in this evening's meeting was a clear omission on Nathan's and my part. The next steps conversation came late in the meeting and neither Nathan nor I thought of this point until after the meeting.

Best,
Larry
594-8806

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 07:51
To: 'Jim Chaousis'; Eric Laustsen
Subject: FW: Rockland > Technical Specifications > Site Plan Review > Grid Scale Power Generation Facilities
Attachments: 2016_01.25_Energy_Committee_Moratorium_Power_Generation_Over_10MW_Issues_For_PB_Final.pdf

FYI

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 07:35
To: 'Mike Chonko'; 'Dan Kelley'; JCaplinger@TRCsolutions.com
Subject: Rockland > Technical Specifications > Site Plan Review > Grid Scale Power Generation Facilities

Morning Dan, Mike and Juliette,

I, as chair of the City's Energy Committee, have spoken to each of you about the potential for SMRT, Woodard & Curran and/or TRC to provide technical assistance to the City's Energy Committee and Planning Board as a part of the ongoing process to update to the City's site plan standards covering power generation facilities.

The City intends to make a final decision on which firm, or combination of firms, to utilize at a meeting this Wednesday evening (i.e., 2/24). I have previously provided to all three firms the Energy Committee's 1/25 outline of questions/issues to be considered while updating the City's Site Plan standards (see attached).

The City could revise those into a Request for Services/Request for Qualifications format. Or, we could proceed using that outline as the reference document (or specific subsections of it) for any scope of services.

I want to be sure all firms have the same information. So, would a conference call today (Monday 2/22) or tomorrow (Tuesday 2/23) be helpful? Let me know how it seems simplest to proceed from each of your firms' perspective.

Also, please let me know if any of the items identified on the 1/25 outline are outside what you would consider your respective firms clear area of expertise. When I last spoke to Dan, he tossed out the idea of splitting/sharing the tasks (i.e., for example, one firm doing the water components and another doing sound).

The City has no objection to that approach. I will call each of you early afternoon today if I had not received a reply to this email. Thanks to all of you for considering assisting the City on this project. Each firm is welcome to attend the Energy Committee's meeting on Wednesday 2/24 (at 4:30 in Council Chambers) as well.

Sincerely,
Larry R. Pritchett, Chair
City of Rockland Energy Committee
Phone: (207) 594-8806

Caplinger, Juliet

From: Caplinger, Juliet <JCaplinger@trcsolutions.com>
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 11:12
To: Larry Pritchett
Subject: RE: Rockland > Technical Specifications > Site Plan Review > Grid Scale Power Generation Facilities

Hi Larry!

Yes, I was hoping you'd call this afternoon...I am available between 1-2 and then 3-4 if one of those times work for you? Please call my cell at 715.482.7779.

Thank you again for including TRC in the list of firms given the chance to assist Rockland while developing specific regulations and ordinances for large-scale power generation facilities in the City. We look forward to hopefully working with you on this amazing opportunity!

Juliet W. Caplinger

Project Manager – Environmental and Renewable Energy



6 Ashley Drive, Scarborough, ME 04074
T: 207.274.2673 | F: 207.879.9293 | C: 715.482.7779
JCaplinger@TRCsolutions.com
[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Blog](#) | www.trcsolutions.com

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 11:08 AM
To: Caplinger, Juliet <JCaplinger@trcsolutions.com>
Subject: RE: Rockland > Technical Specifications > Site Plan Review > Grid Scale Power Generation Facilities

Is there a time I can reach you this afternoon?

LP

From: Caplinger, Juliet [<mailto:JCaplinger@trcsolutions.com>]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 10:11
To: Larry Pritchett
Subject: RE: Rockland > Technical Specifications > Site Plan Review > Grid Scale Power Generation Facilities

Hi Larry! Thank you so much for this email!

I am currently reviewing more specifically the questions/concerns/tasks that are listed in the 01.25.16 Energy Committee Moratorium document. I want to see if there is anything that TRC cannot provide services for. I like the idea of Rockland utilizing more than one firm for these tasks. If this approach was used, each firm could focus on their "bread and butter" and give you the best service for that task(s).

You mentioned that Rockland will be deciding this Wednesday which firm(s) will be selected to provide these services to Rockland. Is there anything you would like for me to provide to you before that decision is made? Do you have a copy of our SOQ for solar-related projects? If not, I can most definitely send you a copy. Let me know what else would be helpful during your review of our firm to see if we are qualified for the tasks listed in the 01.25.16 Energy Committee Moratorium document.

I hope to make the meeting this Wednesday – Thank you for the invite!

Juliet W. Caplinger

Project Manager – Environmental and Renewable Energy



6 Ashley Drive, Scarborough, ME 04074
T: 207.274.2673 | F: 207.879.9293 | C: 715.482.7779
JCaplinger@TRCsolutions.com
[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Blog](#) | www.trcsolutions.com

From: Larry Pritchett [<mailto:larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 7:35 AM

To: 'Mike Chonko' <MCHONKO@SMRTInc.com>; 'Dan Kelley' <dkelley@woodardcurran.com>; Caplinger, Juliet <JCaplinger@trcsolutions.com>

Subject: Rockland > Technical Specifications > Site Plan Review > Grid Scale Power Generation Facilities

Morning Dan, Mike and Juliette,

I, as chair of the City's Energy Committee, have spoken to each of you about the potential for SMRT, Woodard & Curran and/or TRC to provide technical assistance to the City's Energy Committee and Planning Board as a part of the ongoing process to update to the City's site plan standards covering power generation facilities.

The City intends to make a final decision on which firm, or combination of firms, to utilize at a meeting this Wednesday evening (i.e., 2/24). I have previously provided to all three firms the Energy Committee's 1/25 outline of questions/issues to be considered while updating the City's Site Plan standards (see attached).

The City could revise those into a Request for Services/Request for Qualifications format. Or, we could proceed using that outline as the reference document (or specific subsections of it) for any scope of services.

I want to be sure all firms have the same information. So, would a conference call today (Monday 2/22) or tomorrow (Tuesday 2/23) be helpful? Let me know how it seems simplest to proceed from each of your firms' perspective.

Also, please let me know if any of the items identified on the 1/25 outline are outside what you would consider your respective firms clear area of expertise. When I last spoke to Dan, he tossed out the idea of splitting/sharing the tasks (i.e., for example, one firm doing the water components and another doing sound).

The City has no objection to that approach. I will call each of you early afternoon today if I had not received a reply to this email. Thanks to all of you for considering assisting the City on this project. Each firm is welcome to attend the Energy Committee's meeting on Wednesday 2/24 (at 4:30 in Council Chambers) as well.

Sincerely,

Larry R. Pritchett, Chair

City of Rockland Energy Committee

Phone: (207) 594-8806

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 09:11
To: 'Mike Chonko'; 'Dan Kelley'
Cc: 'Brooks Winner'
Subject: Rockland > Energy > Conference Call > 2 PM > Today

Hi Dan and Mike,

The conference call info is below. Brooks Winner, who is the Community Energy Associate with the Island Institute and a member of the City's Energy Committee, will be hosting the conference. You can access it via phone or video. It is scheduled for 2 PM today.

Thanks,
Larry
594-8806

From: Brooks Winner [<mailto:bwinner@islandinstitute.org>]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 17:58
To: 'larrypritchett.council@gmail.com'
Subject: Zoom Call Info

Hi there,

Brooks Winner is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Topic: Rockland Energy Committee
Time: this is a recurring meeting

Join from PC, Mac, Linux, iOS or Android: <https://islandinstitute.zoom.us/j/665408162>

Or iPhone one-tap: 16465687788,665408162# or 14157629988,665408162#

Or Telephone:

Dial: +1 646 568 7788 (US Toll) or +1 415 762 9988 (US Toll)

Meeting ID: 665 408 162

International numbers available:

<https://islandinstitute.zoom.us/join?m=Q9qi4P9PP8SZdendhRkH0Dx8IPMihN1C>

Brooks Winner

Community Energy Associate

Island Institute

207.594.9209 x 148

207.358.0219 cell

www.islandinstitute.org

[@brookswinner2](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Larry Pritchett

From: Larry Pritchett <larrypritchett.council@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 13:27
To: 'Brooks Winner'; 'Peggy Coyne'; 'Nathan Davis'; 'Pearce, Bill'
Cc: 'Jim Chaousis'; 'Audra Bell'; 'deborah donnelly'
Subject: Energy Committee > Meeting > Tomorrow > Wednesday > 2/24 > 4:30 PM > Council Chambers

Hi All,

Just a quick reminder that Energy is meeting tomorrow (Wednesday) at 4:30 PM in Council Chambers. I am holding back on an Agenda until I see what tasks may fall to the Committee from the Planning Board Meeting this afternoon.

Best,
Larry
594-8806