#### **ATTACHMENT 6**

### Technical Project Planning Phase I MFR Worksheet

Author(s): Alion Team Reviewer:

Latest Revision Date: February 16, 2006 Review Date: February 9, 2006

Location: Portland, Maine

Site(s): Seal Island, Knox County, Maine Project: Seal Island Gunnery Range

(Attach Phase I MFR to PMP)

TPP Team	EM 200-1-	2, Paragraph 1.1.1
Decision Maker		
Customer	USACE	
Project Manager	Robert WilliamsUSACE-B USACE-New England Distri	altimore District, Sheila Holt ict
Team Leaders	Roger AzarProgram Mana	ager, Tim ReeseProject Manager
Regulators	Maine Department of Enviro	onmental Protection
Stakeholders	Maine Coastal Islands Nation Wildlife Service	onal Wildlife Refuge - US Fish and
Data Types	Data User	Data Gatherer
Data Types Compliance / Regulatory (CR)	USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE New England District	Data Gatherer Alion Team
	USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE	
Compliance / Regulatory (CR)  Demographics/Land Use (LU)  Site Conditions (SC)	USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE New England District  USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE New England District  USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE New England District  USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE New England District	Alion Team
Compliance / Regulatory (CR)  Demographics/Land Use (LU)	USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE New England District  USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE New England District  USAESCH, USACE Baltimore District, USACE	Alion Team Alion Team

CUSTOMER'S GOALS	EM	200-1-1, Paragraph 1.1.2
Future Land Use(s) @ Site	Issues and Regulatory Compliance Status	Site-specific Closeout Goal (if applicable)
National Wildlife Refuge	Potential for metals and explosives in soil as well as munitions and explosives of concern (MEC)	

CUSTOMER'S GOALS (continued)	EM 200-1-1, Paragraph 1.1.2
Site Closeout Stateme	ent
Achieving the walk-away goal, or final condition of the site, final condition of the site includes safe access following an monitoring for activities that are consistent with the current	y remediation, maintenance, and
Customer's Schedule Requ	irements
No field activities from May 1 thru August 31, 2006.	
Customer's Site Budo	get
N/A.	

	IDENTIFY SITE APPROAG	CH
EXISTING SITE INFORMATION	& DATA EM 200-1-2, Pa	aragraph 1.1.3 and 1.2.1
Attachment(s) to Phase I MFR	Located at Repository	Preliminary Conceptual Site Model
2003 Archive Search Report (ASR)	USACE, Baltimore	Yes
2004 Supplemental ASR	USACE, Baltimore	Yes
1988 Inventory Project Report (INPR)	USACE, Baltimore	Yes
POTENTIAL POINTS OF COMPL		-2, Paragraph 1.2.1.3
Maine DEP Regulations (within bo		rn)
Region IX USEPA (within bounda	ries of areas of concern)	
MEDIA OF DOTTING	DAI	
MEDIA OF POTENTIAL CONCE	RN EM 200-1-2	2, Paragraph 1.2.1.4
Soil		
Sediment		
Surface Water		
SITE OBJECTIVES	EM 200-1-2	2, Paragraph 1.2.2
SITE OBJECTIVES  Determine presence of MEC/MC	EM 200-1-2	2, Paragraph 1.2.2
Determine presence of MEC/MC	(see attached Project Object	ctives worksheet)
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action	(see attached Project Object	ctives worksheet)
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE	(see attached Project Object DER PERSPECTIVES EM 2	ctives worksheet) 200-1-1, Paragraph 1.2.3
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE	(see attached Project Object DER PERSPECTIVES EM 2	ctives worksheet) 200-1-1, Paragraph 1.2.3
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE	(see attached Project Object  DER PERSPECTIVES EM 2  Community Interests	ctives worksheet) 200-1-1, Paragraph 1.2.3
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect	(see attached Project Object  Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site	Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation.
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the	(see attached Project Object  DER PERSPECTIVES EM 2  Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site esite, treatment of MC via 1	Others  Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect	(see attached Project Object  DER PERSPECTIVES EM 2  Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site esite, treatment of MC via 1	Others  Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the	(see attached Project Object  Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site e site, treatment of MC via ras appropriate to reduce the	Others  Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the engineering/institutional controls a	(see attached Project Object  Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site e site, treatment of MC via ras appropriate to reduce the	Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and erisk to future site users.
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the engineering/institutional controls at EXECUTABLE STAGES TO SITI Site Inspection Remedial Investigation/Feasibility	Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site e site, treatment of MC via ras appropriate to reduce the E CLOSEOUT EM 200	Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and erisk to future site users.
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the engineering/institutional controls a EXECUTABLE STAGES TO SITI Site Inspection Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Proposed Plan	Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site e site, treatment of MC via ras appropriate to reduce the E CLOSEOUT EM 200	Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and erisk to future site users.
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the engineering/institutional controls a EXECUTABLE STAGES TO SITI Site Inspection Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Proposed Plan ROD/Decision Document	Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site e site, treatment of MC via ras appropriate to reduce the E CLOSEOUT EM 200	Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and erisk to future site users.
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the engineering/institutional controls a EXECUTABLE STAGES TO SITI Site Inspection Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Proposed Plan ROD/Decision Document Remedial Design	Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site e site, treatment of MC via ras appropriate to reduce the E CLOSEOUT EM 200	Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and erisk to future site users.
Determine presence of MEC/MC Determine NDAI or further action REGULATOR AND STAKEHOLE Regulators  PROBABLE REMEDIES Detonation or removal of suspect Removal of residual MEC from the engineering/institutional controls a EXECUTABLE STAGES TO SITI Site Inspection Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Proposed Plan ROD/Decision Document	Community Interests  EM 200-1  MEC found during the site e site, treatment of MC via ras appropriate to reduce the E CLOSEOUT EM 200	Others  -2, Paragraph 1.2.4 investigation. removal, onsite treatment, and erisk to future site users.

IDENTIFY CURRENT PROJECT		
SITE CONSTRAINTS AND DEPE	ENDENCIES EM	200-1-2, Paragraph 1.3.1
<u>Adminis</u>	strative Constraints and Dep	<u>endencies</u>
SI needs to be completed as soon		m needs.
Special Use Permit need to be in	place prior to sampling.	
	nical Constraints and Deper	
Need MEC avoidance for samplin	g. Need to work with FWS	personnel for access.
Need to abide by Health and Safe		
FWS will provide transport to site	and guidance for sample loo	cations.
	D 1 ( Nº1 ( )	
	Regulatory Milestones and I	
No agreements or permits in place		
Regulatory evaluation of SI work p	plan and reporting of SI resu	its and recommendations.
CURRENT EXECUTABLE STAG	E EM 200	-1-2, Paragraph 1.3.3
Site Inspection		
Basic	Optimum	Excessive
(For Current Projects)	(For Future Projects)	(Objectives that do not lead to site closeout)
Sample collection effort minimal MEC analysis minimal		

#### **Acronyms**

EM-Engineer Manual (see www.usace.army.mil/inet/usace-docs/)

NDAI--No Department of Defense Action Indicated

RA--Removal Action

**RAC-**-Risk Assessment Code type impact analysis conducted during INPR, ASR, and Supplemental ASR

**TPP**-Technical Project Planning

MEC - Munitions and Explosives of Concern

MC - Munitions Constituents

# PROJECT OBJECTIVES WORKSHEET

SITE: <u>Seal Island</u>
PROJECT: <u>Former Seal Island Gunnery Range</u>

			Site Objective <sup>a</sup>		Data	Data Collection	Project
Number	Executable Stage	e Stage <sup>b</sup>	Description <sup>c</sup>	Source	Noode d	Data Collection Methods	Objective
	Current	Future			ואמממא	200	Classification <sup>e</sup>
	Yes		Presence/Absence of MEC and MC	ASR,	CR, LU,	CR, LU, MEC Visual	Basic
_				Public		inspection, MC	
		_			OXO	Sampling	
2	Yes		Eliminate from further consideration those releases that	ASR,	CR, LU,	CR, LU, MEC Visual	Basic
			pose no significant threat to public health or the	Public	SC,	inspection, MC	
		_	environment by collecting adequate samples to assess		OXO	Sampling	
			the presence or absence of MC at the site				
3	Yes		Determine the potential need for a TCRA by collecting	ASR,	CR, LU,	CR, LU, MEC Visual	Basic
			data from previous investigations/reports, site visits, and	Public	SC,	inspection, MC	
			geophysics		OXO	Sampling	
4	Yes		Collect, or develop, additional data, as appropriate, for	ASR,	CR, LU,	CR, LU, MEC Visual	Basic
_			Hazard Ranking System (HRS) scoring by Environmental Public	Public		inspection, MC	
			Protection Agency (EPA)		OXO	Sampling	
2	Yes		Collect data, as appropriate, to characterize the release	ASR,	CR, LU,	CR, LU, MEC Visual	Basic
				Public	SC,	inspection, MC	
			Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS)		OXO	Sampling	
9	Yes		Collect the additional data necessary to the complete the ASR,	ASR,	CR, LU,	CR, LU, MEC Visual	Basic
			Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol (MRSPP)  Public	Public	SC,	inspection, MC	
		_			OXN	Sampling	

a Refer to EM 200-1-2, Paragraph 1.2.2

b Refer to EM 200-1-2, Pragraph 1.2.5

c For example, Meeting with Customer/stakeholder/Regulator, State Regulation\_

d Data Needs: CR-Compliance/Regulatory, LU-Land Use/Demographics, SC-Site Conditions, and UXO-OE UXO

e Classification of project objectives can only occur after the current project has been identified. Refer to EM 200-1-2, Paragraph 1.3.3.

## Acronyms

**EM-**Engineer Manual (see www.usace.army.mil/inet/usace-docs/)

NDAI--No Department of Defense Action Indicated

RA--Removal Action

RAC--Risk Assessment Code

**TPP-**Technical Project Planning

**MEC - Munitions and Explosives of Concern** 

MC - Munitions Constituents