ATTACHMENT 9

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 Facilitator: LOCATION: Portland, ME RATING CODE: 3 1- Strongly Disagree 2 4 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 5 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information e. Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial.

b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project.

a known to what's needed to achieve site clanup - its very war
Carlot of or or and
Laying out what's needed to achieve site cleanup - its very clear what's going to happen and what needs to happen
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for your project team?
Same as above
What part(s) of the TPP session could be improved to be more beneficial for you or your
project team? It was just right
Will de Control of the Control of th
What was your reason for participating in this TPP session?
As PM for MEDEP I have to be completely in the loop
What impact will this session have on the execution of this project?
Project wild not have been executed what section
General Comments:
General Comments.
Session Format:
Cond
Supporting material such as handauta.
Supporting material such as handouts:
excellent
Facilitator:
Other: Roger did on excellent job to wrote Sure we wet our go
Name: Organization

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION

DATES: 9 January 2006 PROJECT: Seal Island Facilitator: LOCATION: Portland, ME RATING CODE: 2 3 4 1- Strongly Disagree 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 5 1 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information e. Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial. b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project. c. TPP is an effective planning tool

What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for you ?			
EXECUMATION OF INTENDED WORK			
REVIEW OF SITE HISTORY AREW HORPFUL			
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for your project team?			
IDENTIFICATION OF OBJECTICES & LIMITATIONS			
What part(s) of the TPP session could be improved to be more beneficial for you or your project team ?			
. Project comm.			
What was your reason for participating in this TPP session?			
MEDER IS REGULATING AGENCY FOR PRIECE			
What impact will this session have on the execution of this project?			
CLARITES INTONTO EXECUTION.			
General Comments:			
Session Format:			
Supporting material such as handouts:			
Facilitator:			
Other:			
Name Organization:			

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 LOCATION: Portland, ME Facilitator: RATING CODE: 2 1- Strongly Disagree 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 3 5 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly uk equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: LA a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information e. Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial. b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project. c. TPP is an effective planning tool

What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for you?				
Explanation of process				
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for your project team?				
Project overall				
What part(s) of the TPP session could be improved to be more beneficial for you or your project team?				
What was your reason for participating in this TPP session?				
Stroke holder				
What impact will this session have on the execution of this project?				
Improve information on Island				
General Comments:				
Session Format:				
Good				
Supporting material such as handouts:				
Good				
Facilitator:				
Good				
Other:				
Nome:				
Name: / Organi				

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION

PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 Facilitator: LOCATION: Portland, ME RATING CODE: 1- Strongly Disagree 2 3 4 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information e. Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial. b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project. c. TPP is an effective planning tool

What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session	on for you?		
INCREASED Knowledge of site in relation to			
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for your project team?			
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for your project team?			
Open communications ul all stakeholdres.			
What part(s) of the TPP session could be improved to be more beneficial for you or your project team?			
4.			
What was your reason for participating in this TPP session?			
Responsible for ENVIRONMENT	al Compliance		
What impact will this session have on the execution	of this project?		
A knowledge A efficience	9		
General Comments:			
Session Format:			
Supporting material such as handouts:	d		
Facilitator: ok			
Other:			
Name: Organ	nizati		

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 Facilitator: LOCATION: Portland, ME RATING CODE: 2 3 4 1- Strongly Disagree 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information e. Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial. b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project.

What was the most beneficial part of the TPF	e session for you?	
OVERM DISEISSION OF PRICESS/304EDILE		
What was the most beneficial part of the TPF	e session for your project team?	
CONTRAC DISCUSSION AMORE STATE HEDERS		
What part(s) of the TPP session could be imp	proved to be more beneficial for you or your	
project team?	noved to be more senemenal for you or your	
Au allent u	al	
What was your reason for participating in this	s TPP session?	
Fus		
PRECRAM MAMACOR		
What impact will this session have on the exe	position of this project?	
IT am EXPEDITE IT		
General Comments:		
Session Format:		
Supporting material such as handouts:		
Facilitator:		
Other:		
Nam	Organization:	

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 Facilitator: LOCATION: Portland, ME RATING CODE: 1- Strongly Disagree 2 3 4 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial. b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project. c. TPP is an effective planning tool

What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for you? Handout & Progressional Presentation
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for your project team?
Presentation + Dessursion ev/state holders.
What part(s) of the TPP session could be improved to be more beneficial for you or your project team?
N/A
What was your reason for participating in this TPP session?
to become familiar w/ MMRP process + to ensure NA5 co in agreement u/ project direction / approach.
What impact will this session have on the execution of this project? Well result in expedited smooth project with buy in five all parties,
General Comments:
Session Format: Great
Supporting material such as handouts:
Facilitator: Ontstanling
Other:
Organization:

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 LOCATION: Portland, ME Facilitator: RATING CODE: 1- Strongly Disagree 2 3 4 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information e. Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial. b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project.

What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for you?		
	all	
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP	session for your project team?	
	al	
What part(s) of the TPP session could be improject team?	roved to be more beneficial for you or your	
	No	
What was your reason for participating in this	s TPP session?	
What impact will this session have on the execution	eqution of this project?	
What impact will this session have on the exe		
	Sund	
General Comments:		
Session Format:		
Supporting material such as handouts:		
Facilitator:		
Other:		
Name:	Organization:	

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 LOCATION: Portland, ME Facilitator: RATING CODE: 1- Strongly Disagree 2 3 4 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 1 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. X c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. X c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. X d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information e. Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. f. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating:

X

a. TPP Session was beneficial.

c. TPP is an effective planning tool

b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project.

What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for you?			
Clarifying goals, objectives and methods of			
study.			
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP session for your project team?			
Same			
What part(s) of the TPP session could be improved to be more beneficial for you or your			
Materials reciseved in advance for review			
What was your reason for participating in this TPP session?			
owner			
What impact will this session have on the execution of this project?			
Hopefully assist with better communication			
and collaboration throughout the project,			
General Comments:			
Session Format:			
Supporting material such as handouts:			
Facilitator:			
Other:			
Name: Organization:			

TECHNICAL PROJECT PLANNING (TPP) FACILITATED SESSION PROJECT: Seal Island DATES: 9 January 2006 Facilitator: Roger AZAr LOCATION: Portland, ME RATING CODE: 1- Strongly Disagree 2 3 4 5-Strongly Agree **ITEM** 3 5 1. Objectives/Goals of the TPP session: a. Objectives/goals were clear before you attended. b. Objectives/goals clarified at start of the session. c. Objectives/goals met during the session. 2. Open Communication: a. Everyone shared in the discussion on a fairly equal basis. b. We were able to disagree freely and work through our disagreement. c. My concerns/questions were expressed. d. My concerns/questions were acknowledged/ answered. 3. Session Accommodations: a. Room was comfortable. b. Overhead projector was used effectively for displaying/documenting session discussions. c. Poster board was used effectively for documenting session discussions. d. Computer graphics were used effectively for presenting site information Handout material aided in the session discussions. 4. Facilitator: a. Facilitator explained TPP process and used the TPP concepts throughout sessions. b. Facilitator encouraged individual participation. c. Facilitator summarized/documented discussions. d. Facilitator kept discussions focused on session objectives/ goals. e. Facilitator demonstrated effective platform skills. Facilitator was unbiased. 5. Overall Rating: a. TPP Session was beneficial.

b. TPP Session resulted in progress on the project.

What was the most beneficial part of the TPP s	session for you?		
Description of proposed project.			
What was the most beneficial part of the TPP s	session for your project team?		
Ditto			
What part(s) of the TPP session could be impro	oved to be more beneficial for you or your		
project team? Material prior to	neetina		
The contact print of	1		
-£			
What was your reason for participating in this	TPP session?		
I was invited			
1 22 / / / / / / /			
	1		
What impact will this session have on the execu	ution of this project?		
positive			
Positive			
General Comments:			
Session Format:			
Very Good.			
and past.			
Supporting material such as handouts:			
Very bood.			
Facilitator:			
90004			
Other:			
Nama	Promigation		
Name:	Organization:		