From: "Mendelson, Meredith"

To: "Levden, Kathleen" < Kathleen. Leyden@maine.gov>

Date: 10/3/2017 10:24:17 AM

Subject: RE: Geophysical Survey Informational Document

No re: cable, though we agreed when MAV was considering going into Round Pond that the cable would be hanging in a number of places and suggested that they go north for that reason.

No re AQ sites.

Good comment re MSHPO and good Q re sound.

Happy to include request for a shorter version—thoughts on who that audience would be? I think this level of info is good for distribution to fishermen who will ask all these questions and more, most likely.

From: Leyden, Kathleen

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 11:06 AM

To: Mendelson, Meredith < Meredith. Mendelson@maine.gov>

Cc: Burrowes, Todd <Todd.Burrowes@maine.gov>
Subject: RE: Geophysical Survey Informational Document

Couple of quick comments (not related to your q's):

- Are there aquaculture sites in the way?
- The text says "The survey will also determine the archeological significance of any potential artifacts..." I would think they'd say "locate for MSHPO's determination of significance".
- With respect to a public document, although this conveys a lot of information and needed details, perhaps they should have a shorter version with basics, or a series of one-pagers with illustrations?
- Will there be noise that people should anticipate 24/7?

Do you want to get specifics on the company and their track record prior to the survey? This is much different, but I'm remembering that awful dredge company.

From: Mendelson, Meredith

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 10:33 AM

To: Cotnoir, Sarah < Sarah. Cotnoir@maine.gov >; Cloutier, Rene < Rene. Cloutier@maine.gov >; Wilson, Carl

<<u>Carl.Wilson@maine.gov</u> >; Gilbert, Deirdre <<u>Deirdre.Gilbert@maine.gov</u> >; Reardon, Kathleen

<Kathleen.Reardon@maine.gov >

Cc: Leyden, Kathleen < Kathleen.Leyden@maine.gov >; Burrowes, Todd < Todd.Burrowes@maine.gov >

Subject: FW: Geophysical Survey Informational Document

All-

Please review the attached document about the upcoming survey for the cable route to Monhegan for MAV. Please review this public-facing document by COB tomorrow and provide any feedback you may have. Seeking input on a few items specifically:

- 1. Should we suggest the encourage fishermen to keep gear out of the cable area and a 40' buffer on each side for the duration of the survey (first two weeks of November, maybe?)
- 2. Thoughts about whether or not they should be saying there is a gear compensation plan in place? Or add in a note that fishermen will need to demonstrate certain things/meet certain criteria to be reimbursed?

I am also going to ask for more clarity re the three points of contact—who should be contacted for which purpose between Matt and Mark, and should vessel only be contacted for immediate, on-water concerns, etc.

From: Matthew Nixon [mailto:matthew.nixon@maine.edu]

Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 7:37 PM

To: Mendelson, Meredith < Meredith.Mendelson@maine.gov >

Cc: 'Habib Dagher' (hd@umit.maine.edu">hd@umit.maine.edu >; James Ward IV <jsward@maine.edu >; Nathan Johnson <

njohnson@orpc.co>

Subject: Geophysical Survey Informational Document

Good Evening Deputy Commissioner Mendelson.

Attached, please find a draft document containing maps, schedules, and equipment details for the New England Aqua Ventus proposed geophysical survey. Please let us know if you have any immediate concerns and do not hesitate to ask questions should you have them. Additional details including a draft outreach plan and gear compensation details will be forthcoming.

-matt